

The Contact Cliff

When Elite Contact Skill Becomes a Liability

By Dylan Allread | Analytical and writing support by Anthropic's Claude | July 6 2026

MLB & MiLB data: baseballsavant.mlb.com | Stance data: baseballsavant.mlb.com/visuals/batting-stance

Every contact hitter is climbing the same cliff. Better pitch recognition, sharper hands, higher contact rate. The view from up there looks like progress. Most of them don't realize they've gone over the edge until the fall has already started.

Steven Kwan is having the worst offensive season of his career. Luis Arraez has quietly become one of the most confounding decline stories in baseball. Jeff McNeil just got traded for a lottery ticket and cash. Nico Hoerner's production has flatlined for four straight years despite being one of the hardest hitters to strike out in the sport. On the surface, these look like unrelated problems. They're not.

All four men climbed the same cliff and went over it. The sport's billion-dollar analytics infrastructure hasn't named what happened to them. The only people who seem to sense something is off are a few perplexed posters on Reddit who can't quite articulate what they're seeing.

The Climb

Traditional hitting logic treats contact rate as an unambiguous positive. Make more contact, have more success. It's intuitive, it's widely taught, and for most hitters, it's correct.

But there's a summit. A point where bat-to-ball skill becomes so refined that the next step forward is over the edge. Kwan, Arraez, McNeil, and Hoerner have all reached it to varying degrees, and the cruel thing about the Contact Cliff is that you can't see it coming. The better you get, the closer you are.

Here's what's actually happening. The more games a contact-first hitter plays, the sharper his eye gets and the better his hands become at finding the ball. That sounds like progress. Over time, though, something shifts beneath the surface. His hands have learned they don't need to swing as fast to make contact. His brain has learned it doesn't need to fully commit to a swing path, because the barrel will find a way regardless. The metrics stay flat. The strikeout rate holds. The contact rate climbs. And slowly, quietly, the swing loses its violence. The hitter keeps climbing, and the cliff edge gets closer with every at-bat.

This isn't aging. The sport has largely accepted bat speed decline as a physical inevitability of getting older. But four-year trajectory data tells a different story. Aaron Judge is 34 years old. His bat speed has held at 74.79, 75.68, 74.76, and 74.13 MPH across the 2023, 2024, 2025, and 2026

seasons without meaningful decline. Bryan Reynolds is 29 and his bat speed has climbed from 68.01 in 2023 to 70.60 in 2026. Neither shows the deceleration patterns that define the cliff. Neither shows contact point extension against slow pitches.

The separator is not age. It is contact skill profile. The hitters showing cliff fingerprints are contact-first hitters whose hands learned the wrong lesson over thousands of at-bats. The hitters who remain mechanically healthy are power-committed hitters whose swings stay aggressive regardless of pitch speed. The cliff is behavioral drift, not biological decay.

Now, thanks to batter's box stance data publicly available at Baseball Savant, we can see precisely where each hitter stands, and the measurements confirm what the performance data has been suggesting. A hitter's position in the box is not a preference. It is a variable. And for the first time, we can track it.

The Case Studies

Kwan is slashing .206/.321/.251 through late June with a wRC+ of 70, a career low. His zone-contact rate is the highest ever recorded in the Statcast era. From 2023 to 2025 he was actually moving progressively forward in the box, from $Y=25.86$ to $Y=24.43$ to $Y=22.84$, his most forward position in four years. Then in 2026 he jumped back to $Y=29.49$, a 6.65 inch reversal in a single season, the largest positional shift of any hitter in the dataset. In May, feeling chronically late on pitches, he revealed he had moved back in the batter's box to buy more time to read the ball.

The box move did not fix the problem. It was the push off the cliff.

There is a second finding in the stance data that nobody has discussed: Kwan's foot separation. The average MLB hitter stands with feet roughly 30 inches apart. Kwan's foot separation in 2025 was 7.06 inches, the narrowest single-year reading in the entire four-year dataset. In 2026 it widened slightly to 8.44 inches. The next narrowest hitter in the dataset stands at 15.7 inches. Kwan is in a category by himself, feet almost completely together, a mechanical signature so unusual that it may be contributing to the reaching and extending the contact point data captures. It has received zero analytical attention.

Arraez tells the same story, confirmed by four years of stance data. His out-of-zone contact rate in 2025 hit 92.3% against a league average of 55.3%. The stance data shows he has been standing at $Y=33.44$, 33.28, 34.31, and 34.34 across 2023 to 2026, consistently among the deepest positions in all of baseball. He has been giving his hands maximum runway for four straight seasons. His contact point against slow pitches has grown from 37.96 inches in 2023 to 40.78 inches in 2026.

McNeil's story requires honest treatment. After winning the NL batting title in 2022, his strikeout rate stayed elite at under 10% while his hard-hit rate fell to the fifth percentile. His stance data shows he drifted back from $Y=29.41$ in 2023 to $Y=32.03$ in 2026 as his production cratered.

Hoerner hasn't gone over yet, but he's at the summit. His wRC+ has sat between 103 and 108 for four consecutive seasons. His decel gap has gone progressively more negative each year: 1.11, -0.97, -1.18, -1.23, meaning he is swinging harder on slow pitches but also missing them more. His whiff rate against pitches under 85 MPH is 12.2%, nearly double his 5.3% rate against 95-100 MPH pitches.

The Nine

The batter's box stance data covers 128 qualified hitters across four seasons. The collective wisdom of every hitting coach in baseball has moved the average hitter 0.25 inches backward over those four years. The league drifted in the wrong direction.

Nine hitters out of 128 moved forward 3 or more inches over that span. That is 7% of the league. Only four moved forward 5 or more inches. That is 3.1%. Thirteen hitters moved forward consistently across all three year-over-year intervals without backsliding. That is 10.2% of the league.

Hitters who moved forward 2 or more inches over four years are less than half as likely to show cliff fingerprints as those who moved backward. That is the strongest single statistical validation the theory has produced.

Of those nine significant forward movers, five show the mechanism working exactly as predicted. Chapman, Witt Jr., Gelof, Benintendi, and Taveras all show contact point compression or stability against slow pitches as they moved toward the pitcher. As Chapman moved from $Y=30.98$ to $Y=27.29$, his contact point against slow pitches compressed from 35.31 to 33.13 inches. As Witt Jr. moved from $Y=28.60$ to $Y=26.30$, his slow-pitch contact point compressed from 37.69 to 34.79 and his exit velocity improved from 83.29 to 85.58 MPH. Gelof's bat speed actually increased from 70.1 to 72.02 MPH as he moved forward, the only forward mover in the dataset to gain bat speed over four years. His cliff score is zero.

Polanco is the most important cautionary finding. He moved forward 6.34 inches, more than anyone in the dataset, yet his cliff score sits at 46.7 and his wOBA is .241. His deceleration gap went negative in 2024 and stayed there despite the positioning change. The behavioral habit was already too deeply grooved. Moving forward did not fix it because the neuromuscular pattern had calcified across thousands of at-bats. This is the critical distinction the piece has been building toward: box position change works best as prevention, not as a rescue operation.

Judge, Schwarber, and Gelof did not stumble into their forward movement. Something in their development, whether coaching, instinct, or mechanical necessity, pushed them toward the pitcher while the rest of the league drifted away. Nobody is talking about why. The overlap between moving forward deliberately and maintaining elite bat speed and power metrics across four years is not random.

The answer to the Contact Cliff was hiding in the stance data of 9 hitters out of 128. The sport just was not looking at it.

The Fix Nobody Is Trying

Moving up in the batter's box sounds counterintuitive. In fact, over the past several years, the trend across MLB has gone in the exact opposite direction. Hitting coaches have been moving hitters back, arguing that the extra time to read the pitch is always an advantage.

But for a hitter already at the top of the Contact Cliff, more time is the last thing he needs. More time is what sent Kwan over the edge. Moving forward in the box applies a mandatory physical tax that forces a faster, more committed swing through two distinct mechanisms.

The first is eliminating the deceleration window. Statcast measures bat speed at the exact point where the bat intercepts the ball. By moving up in the box, the ball intercepts the bat earlier in the arc where speed is still building. His hands physically do not have the real estate to slow down or guide the barrel. He has to let it rip just to reach the ball.

The second mechanism is bypassing the choice to slow down. If cliff hitters try to manipulate or guide the barrel from up front, the pitch gets past them before they can make contact. The threat of velocity forces a fully committed, max-effort swing immediately upon pitch recognition. The defensive option simply ceases to exist.

There is also a physics case against staying deep. A breaking ball with high spin accumulates movement the further it travels. Research on ball flight shows that a breaking ball travels an average of four additional inches of break when it goes from 18 inches in front of the plate to six inches behind it.

The Answer Was Already There

Baseball already solved this problem. It just forgot.

Babe Ruth, the greatest home run hitter who ever lived, dealt with the same cliff that is breaking Kwan, Arraez, and McNeil today. He just didn't have Statcast to tell him what was happening. He figured it out by feel.

Against breaking balls, Ruth moved to the front of the batter's box. He recognized, without analytics or coaching frameworks, that standing up front took away the late movement that made those pitches dangerous. He was solving the spin problem with positioning a century before anyone had the data to explain why it worked.

When he stood deeper, he didn't wait passively. He used an aggressive, exaggerated stride that physically committed his entire body weight forward into the pitch. That stride was a commitment mechanism. Once his body was moving, he couldn't steer. He couldn't guide. He couldn't decelerate the barrel. His full swing velocity was already loaded and in motion before the ball

arrived.

Modern coaching has all but eliminated both of these solutions. The big stride was coached out of hitters because it creates timing vulnerabilities against elite velocity. The front-of-the-box adjustment against breaking balls became a curiosity of a different era.

The answer to the Contact Cliff isn't new. It's a hundred years old. And the fact that nobody in a billion-dollar analytics industry is pointing back to it is either an embarrassment or an opportunity, depending on which dugout you're sitting in.

The Algorithm

Every theory needs a test. So we built one.

Using Baseball Savant's full 2026 hitter dataset calibrated against career Statcast data spanning 837 hitters across the bat-tracking era, we constructed a Contact Cliff Score for every qualified hitter in baseball. The formula pulls four inputs: bat speed deficit relative to career-era league average, contact skill inversion with low strikeout rate and low whiff rate treated as risk factors, power drain measured through ISO and hard-hit rate, and contact point extension relative to league average. Each component is scored on a 25-point scale.

The results validated every case in this piece without being told which hitters to flag.

Arraez scored 67.9, the highest in baseball. Kwan scored 62.3. Wilson scored 62.6 despite standing at league average depth, confirming the cliff is behavioral not positional. McNeil scored 53.9. Hoerner scored 52.9. All flagged urgent. Aaron Judge scored 0.0. Bryan Reynolds scored 0.0.

The Missing Coordinate

Baseball has spent a decade building one of the most sophisticated data collection systems in professional sports. It tracks the spin axis of a curveball to the degree. It measures bat speed to the tenth of a mile per hour at the precise millisecond of contact. It maps the trajectory of every pitch in three dimensions from the moment it leaves a pitcher's hand.

And somehow, in all of that, nobody thought to track where the hitter is standing in a 24 square foot box.

The batter's box stance data is publicly available at Baseball Savant. The measurement has existed all along. In golf and tennis, positioning is taught before mechanics on day one. In baseball, this data sits on a public analytics platform and nobody has built a framework for using it to tell a hitter where to stand. The data being tracked does not mean it is being acted on. Stance averages barely move year over year for most hitters, which suggests that if coaches are using this data prescriptively, it is not showing up in where their hitters stand.

There is no public metric that tells a hitting coach where their hitter should optimally stand given their specific deceleration profile, contact point spread across velocity buckets, wOBA versus xwOBA gap, and swing arc length. A batter deserves to know exactly where to put his feet the same way a golfer deserves a fitting that tells him precisely where to stand relative to the ball, not where it feels comfortable. The Contact Cliff Score is the beginning of that calculation. The stance data now exists to refine it.

The sport measures everything except the one thing that could change all of it.